

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

H. LUNDBECK A/S, TAKEDA)
PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY LTD.,)
TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A.,)
INC., TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS)
INTERNATIONAL AG, and TAKEDA)
PHARMACEUTICALS AMERICA, INC.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.) C.A. No. _____
)
SANDOZ INC., SANDOZ AG, and LEK)
PHARMACEUTICALS D.D.,)
)
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

H. Lundbeck A/S (“Lundbeck”), Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Ltd. (“Takeda Japan”), Takeda Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc. (“Takeda USA”), Takeda Pharmaceuticals International AG (“Takeda International”), and Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. (“Takeda America”) (collectively, “Lundbeck and Takeda” or “Plaintiffs”), by their undersigned attorneys, bring this action against Defendants Sandoz Inc., Sandoz AG, and Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. (collectively, “Sandoz” or “Defendants”), and hereby allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action for patent infringement, brought pursuant to the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.*, arises from Defendants’ recent submission to the United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) of Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”) No. 210993 (“Defendants’ ANDA”). Through Defendants’ ANDA, Defendants seek approval to market generic versions of Plaintiffs’ pharmaceutical product TRINTELLIX[®], prior

to the expiration of United States Patent No. 8,722,684 (“the ’684 Patent”); United States Patent No. 8,969,355 (“the ’355 Patent”); and United States Patent No. 9,227,946 (“the ’946 Patent”).

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff H. Lundbeck A/S (“Lundbeck”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Denmark, with a place of business at Ottiliavej 9, DK-2500 Valby, Denmark. Lundbeck is the assignee and owner of the ’684 Patent, the ’355 Patent, and the ’946 Patent.

3. Plaintiff Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, with a place of business at 1-1, Doshomachi 4-chome, Chuo-ku, Osaka 540-8645, Japan. Lundbeck has granted Takeda Japan an exclusive license to the ’684, ’355, and ’946 Patents in connection with the use, importation, distribution, marketing, promotion, and sale of TRINTELLIX[®] in the United States.

4. Plaintiff Takeda Pharmaceuticals International AG is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Switzerland, with a place of business at Thurgauerstrasse 130, 8152 Glattpark-Opfikon, Zurich, Switzerland. Takeda International is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Takeda Japan. Takeda International has an exclusive sublicense to the ’684, ’355, and ’946 Patents from Takeda Japan in connection with the commercialization of TRINTELLIX[®] in the United States.

5. Plaintiff Takeda Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at One Takeda Parkway, Deerfield, IL 60015. Takeda International and Takeda Japan own Takeda USA. Takeda USA holds the New Drug Application (“NDA”) No. 204447 for TRINTELLIX[®] and has an exclusive sublicense to the ’684, ’355, and ’946 Patents from Takeda International,

which grants it the right to import, distribute, and sell TRINTELLIX[®] in the United States on behalf of Takeda.

6. Plaintiff Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at One Takeda Parkway, Deerfield, IL 60015. Takeda America is a wholly owned subsidiary of Takeda USA. Takeda America distributes and markets TRINTELLIX[®] in the United States on behalf of Takeda USA.

7. Lundbeck and Takeda are engaged in the business of creating, researching, developing, and bringing to market revolutionary pharmaceutical products to help treat serious diseases, including major depressive disorder.

8. On information and belief, Defendant Sandoz Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Colorado, with a principal place of business at 100 College Road West, Princeton, New Jersey 08540.

9. On information and belief, Defendant Sandoz AG is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Switzerland, with a principal place of business at Lichtstrasse 35, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland.

10. On information and belief, Defendant Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Slovenia, with a principal place of business at Verovškova 57, 1526 Ljubljana, Slovenia.

11. On information and belief, Sandoz Inc., Sandoz AG, and Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. are majority owned subsidiaries of Sandoz International GmbH.

12. On further information and belief, Sandoz Inc., Sandoz AG, and Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. collaborate with respect to the development, regulatory approval,

marketing, sale, and/or distribution of drug substances and pharmaceutical products. On further information and belief, Defendants are agents of each other and/or operate in concert as integrated parts of the same business group, and enter into agreements with each other that are nearer than arm's length.

13. On information and belief, Sandoz Inc., Sandoz AG, and Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. acted collaboratively in the preparation and submission of ANDA No. 210993 and the development of the drug product subject thereto.

14. On information and belief, Defendants caused ANDA No. 210993 to be submitted to FDA and seek FDA approval of ANDA No. 210993.

15. On information and belief, Defendants intend to commercially manufacture, market, offer for sale, and sell the vortioxetine hydrobromide tablets described in Defendants' ANDA ("the ANDA Products") throughout the United States, including in the State of Delaware, in the event FDA approves Defendants' ANDA.

16. On information and belief, Defendants intend to act collaboratively to commercially manufacture, market, distribute, offer for sale, and/or sell the ANDA Products, in the event FDA approves Defendants' ANDA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. This civil action for patent infringement arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271, and alleges infringement of the '684, '355, and '946 Patents.

18. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

19. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, on information and belief, Defendants, *inter alia*, have continuous and systematic contacts with the State of Delaware, regularly conduct business in the State of Delaware, either directly or through one or

more wholly owned subsidiaries, agents, and/or alter egos, have purposefully availed themselves of the privilege of doing business in the State of Delaware, and intend to sell the ANDA Products in the State of Delaware upon approval of ANDA No. 210993.

20. On information and belief, Defendants are in the business of manufacturing, marketing, importing, distributing, and selling pharmaceutical drug products, including generic drug products, either directly or through subsidiaries, agents, and/or alter-egos, which Defendants manufacture, distribute, market, and/or sell throughout the United States and in this judicial district.

21. On information and belief, Defendants are licensed to sell generic and proprietary pharmaceutical products in the State of Delaware, either directly or through one or more of their wholly owned subsidiaries, agents, and/or alter egos. On information and belief, Sandoz Inc. holds a current and valid “Pharmacy-Wholesale” License in Delaware.

22. Defendants have committed, or aided, abetted, contributed to, and/or participated in the commission of, acts of patent infringement that will lead to foreseeable harm and injury to Plaintiffs, which manufacture TRINTELLIX[®] for sale and use throughout the United States, including this judicial district. On information and belief and as indicated by a letter dated December 18, 2017 sent by Sandoz Inc. to Lundbeck and Takeda USA pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(B) (the “Notice Letter”), ANDA No. 210993 was prepared and filed with the intention of seeking to market the ANDA Products nationwide, including within this judicial district.

23. On information and belief, Defendants plan to sell the ANDA Products in the State of Delaware, list the ANDA Products on the State of Delaware’s prescription drug formulary, and seek Medicaid reimbursements for sales of the ANDA Products in the State of

Delaware, either directly or through one or more of their wholly owned subsidiaries, agents, and/or alter egos.

24. On information and belief, Defendants know and intend that their proposed ANDA Products will be distributed and sold in Delaware and will thereby displace sales of TRINTELLIX[®], causing injury to Lundbeck and Takeda. Defendants intend to take advantage of their established channels of distribution in Delaware for the sale of their proposed ANDA Products.

25. Additionally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Sandoz AG and Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. because the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2)(A) are met for each, as (a) Plaintiffs' claims arise under federal law; (b) Sandoz AG and Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. are foreign defendants not subject to general personal jurisdiction in the courts of any state; and (c) Sandoz AG and Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. have sufficient contacts in the United States as a whole, including, but not limited to, developing the ANDA Products and drug substance, preparing and submitting an ANDA to the FDA, and/or manufacturing and/or selling pharmaceutical products distributed throughout the United States including in this judicial district, such that this Court's exercise of jurisdiction over Sandoz AG and Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. satisfies due process.

26. Sandoz Inc. regularly engages in patent litigation concerning FDA-approved drug products in this judicial district, has not contested personal jurisdiction or venue in such litigation in this judicial district, and has purposefully availed itself of the rights and benefits of this Court by asserting claims and/or counterclaims in this Court. *See, e.g., ViiV Healthcare Company et al v. Sandoz Inc. et al*, 17-1784, D.I. 12 (D. Del. Jan. 24, 2018); *Biogen International GMBH et al. v. Sandoz Inc.*, 17-874, D.I. 9 (D. Del. Oct. 16, 2017); *Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al. v.*

Sandoz Inc., 17-407, D.I. 9 (D. Del. June 12, 2017); *Omeros Corporation v. Sandoz Inc.*, 17-799, D.I. 11 (D. Del. Sept. 13, 2017).

27. Venue is proper in this district for Sandoz Inc. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

28. Venue is proper in this district for Sandoz AG pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

29. Venue is proper in this district for Lek Pharmaceuticals d.d. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

PLAINTIFFS' APPROVED TRINTELLIX[®] DRUG PRODUCT AND PATENTS

30. Takeda USA is the holder of New Drug Application (“NDA”) No. 204447 for TRINTELLIX[®] tablets (5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, and 20 mg dosage strengths).¹ The active ingredient in TRINTELLIX[®] is vortioxetine hydrobromide. FDA approved NDA No. 204447 on September 30, 2013.

31. TRINTELLIX[®] is an oral antidepressant indicated for the treatment of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD). It is an inhibitor of serotonin (5-HT) reuptake, an agonist at 5-HT1A receptors, a partial agonist at 5-HT1B receptors, and an antagonist at 5-HT3, 5-HT1D and 5-HT7 receptors. It is considered to be the first and only drug with this combination of pharmacodynamic activity. It represents a major advancement in the treatment of depression.

32. The '684, '355, and '946 Patents are listed in the *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* (an FDA publication commonly known as the “Orange Book”) for TRINTELLIX[®].

¹ Plaintiffs do not sell 15 mg TRINTELLIX[®] tablets in the United States.

33. The '684 Patent, entitled "1-[2-(2,4-dimethylphenylsulfanyl)-phenyl] piperazine as a Compound with Combined Serotonin Reuptake, 5-HT3 and 5-HT1A Activity for the Treatment of Cognitive Impairment," was duly and lawfully issued by the USPTO on May 13, 2014. A true and correct copy of the '684 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

34. The '355 Patent, entitled "1-[2-(2,4-dimethylphenylsulfanyl)-phenyl] piperazine as a Compound with Combined Serotonin Reuptake, 5-HT3 and 5-HT1A Activity for the Treatment of Cognitive Impairment," was duly and lawfully issued by the USPTO on March 3, 2015. A true and correct copy of the '355 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

35. The '946 Patent, entitled "1-[2-(2,4-dimethylphenylsulfanyl)-phenyl] piperazine as a Compound with Combined Serotonin Reuptake, 5-HT3 and 5-HT1A Activity for the Treatment of Cognitive Impairment," was duly and lawfully issued by the USPTO on January 5, 2016. A true and correct copy of the '946 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

DEFENDANTS' ANDA NO. 210993

36. On information and belief, Defendants have submitted ANDA No. 210993 to FDA, or caused ANDA No. 210993 to be submitted to FDA, under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j), in order to obtain approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, or sale of vortioxetine hydrobromide tablets as purported generic versions of TRINTELLIX[®] tablets prior to the expiration of the '684, '355, and '946 Patents.

37. On information and belief, FDA has not approved Defendants' ANDA.

38. On information and belief, Sandoz Inc. sent Lundbeck and Takeda USA a Notice Letter dated December 18, 2017. The Notice Letter represented that Sandoz Inc. had submitted to FDA ANDA No. 210993 and a purported Paragraph IV certification for the '684, '355, and '946 Patents. Plaintiffs reserve all rights to challenge the sufficiency of Defendants' ANDA and Notice Letter.

39. On information and belief, the purpose of an ANDA and Paragraph IV certification is to obtain approval under section 505(j) of the FDCA to engage in the commercial manufacture and sale of the ANDA Products before expiration of the '684, '355, and '946 Patents. Hence, Defendants' purpose in submitting ANDA No. 210993 is to market the products described therein before the expiration of the '684, '355, and '946 Patents.

40. On information and belief, if approved, the ANDA Products will have the same indication as TRINTELLIX[®]. On further information and belief, the indication set forth in the proposed labeling submitted in ANDA No. 210993 for the ANDA Products is the treatment of major depressive disorder (MDD).

41. On information and belief, if FDA approves Defendants' ANDA, Defendants will manufacture, offer for sale, or sell the ANDA Products, within the United States, including within the State of Delaware, or will import the ANDA Products into the United States, including the State of Delaware. The manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, or importation of the ANDA Products will directly infringe the '684, '355, and '946 Patents.

42. On information and belief, if FDA approves Defendants' ANDA, Defendants will actively induce or contribute to the manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale of the ANDA Products in a manner that infringes the '684, '355, and '946 Patents.

43. This action is being brought within forty-five days of Plaintiffs' receipt of the Notice Letter, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(c)(3)(C). Accordingly, Plaintiffs are entitled to a stay of FDA approval pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(5)(B)(iii) and U.S.C. § 355(j)(5)(F)(ii).

COUNT I
INFRINGEMENT OF THE '684 PATENT

44. Plaintiffs restate, reallege, and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1–43 as if fully set forth herein.

45. On information and belief, Defendants submitted or caused the submission of ANDA No. 210993 to FDA, and thereby seek FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA.

46. Plaintiffs own all rights, title, and interest in and to the '684 Patent.

47. The ANDA Products fall within one or more claims of the '684 Patent.

48. Defendants have infringed at least one claim of the '684 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A) by submitting Defendants' ANDA with a Paragraph IV certification and thereby seeking FDA approval of generic versions of TRINTELLIX[®] prior to the expiration of the '684 Patent.

49. If approved, the importation, manufacture, sale, offer for sale, or use of the ANDA Products will infringe one or more claims of the '684 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

50. Unless enjoined by this Court, upon FDA approval, Defendants will actively induce infringement of the '684 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). On information and belief, upon FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA, Defendants will make, use, offer to sell, or sell the ANDA Products within the United States, or will import the ANDA Products into the United States, and will thereby induce infringement of one or more claims of the '684 Patent. On information and belief, upon FDA approval, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement with knowledge of the '684 Patent and knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

51. Unless enjoined by this Court, upon FDA approval, Defendants will contributorily infringe the '684 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). On information and belief, upon FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA, Defendants will offer to sell or sell the ANDA Products within the United States, or will import the ANDA Products into the United States, and will thereby contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '684 Patent. On information and

belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge of the '684 Patent and knowledge that their acts will lead to infringement of the patent. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that the ANDA Products are especially made or especially adapted for a use that infringes the '684 Patent and that there are no substantial non-infringing uses for the ANDA Products.

52. Defendants had actual and constructive notice of the '684 Patent prior to filing Defendants' ANDA, and were aware that the filing of Defendants' ANDA with the request for FDA approval prior to the expiration of the '684 Patent would constitute an act of infringement of the '684 Patent. Defendants have no reasonable basis for asserting that the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale of the ANDA Products will not infringe, contribute to the infringement of, and/or induce the infringement of the '684 Patent.

53. Defendants filed Defendants' ANDA without adequate justification for asserting the '684 Patent to be invalid, unenforceable, and/or not infringed by the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale of the ANDA Products. Defendants' conduct in certifying invalidity, unenforceability, and/or non-infringement with respect to the '684 Patent renders this case "exceptional" as that term is set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 285, and entitle Plaintiffs to recovery of their attorneys' fees and such other relief as this Court deems proper.

54. Plaintiffs will be irreparably harmed if Defendants are not enjoined from infringing, and from actively inducing or contributing to the infringement of the '684 Patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law, and considering the balance of hardships between Plaintiffs and Defendants, a remedy in equity is warranted. Further, the public interest would not be disserved by the entry of a permanent injunction.

COUNT II
INFRINGEMENT OF THE '355 PATENT

55. Plaintiffs restate, reallege, and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1–54 as if fully set forth herein.

56. On information and belief, Defendants submitted or caused the submission of ANDA No. 210993 to FDA, and thereby seek FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA.

57. Plaintiffs own all rights, title, and interest in and to the '355 Patent.

58. The ANDA Products fall within one or more claims of the '355 Patent.

59. On information and belief, the ANDA Products will be indicated for the treatment of major depressive disorder.

60. Defendants have infringed at least one claim of the '355 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A) by submitting Defendants' ANDA with a Paragraph IV certification and thereby seeking FDA approval of generic versions of TRINTELLIX[®] prior to the expiration of the '355 Patent.

61. If approved, use of the ANDA Products in accordance with the proposed labeling will directly infringe one or more claims of the '355 Patent.

62. Unless enjoined by this Court, upon FDA approval, Defendants will actively induce infringement of the '355 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). On information and belief, upon FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA, Defendants will make, use, offer to sell, or sell the ANDA Products within the United States, or will import the ANDA Products into the United States, and will thereby induce infringement of one or more claims of the '355 Patent. On information and belief, upon FDA approval, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement with knowledge of the '355 Patent and knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

63. Unless enjoined by this Court, upon FDA approval, Defendants will contributorily infringe the '355 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). On information and belief, upon FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA, Defendants will offer to sell or sell the ANDA Products within the United States, or will import the ANDA Products into the United States, and will thereby contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '355 Patent. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge of the '355 Patent and knowledge that their acts will lead to infringement of the patent. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that the ANDA Products are especially made or especially adapted for a use that infringes the '355 Patent and that there are no substantial non-infringing uses for the ANDA Products.

64. Defendants had actual and constructive notice of the '355 Patent prior to filing Defendants' ANDA, and were aware that the filing of Defendants' ANDA with the request for FDA approval prior to the expiration of the '355 Patent would constitute an act of infringement of the '355 Patent. Defendants have no reasonable basis for asserting that the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale of the ANDA Products will not infringe, contribute to the infringement of, and/or induce the infringement of the '355 Patent.

65. Defendants filed Defendants' ANDA without adequate justification for asserting the '355 Patent to be invalid, unenforceable, and/or not infringed by the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale of the ANDA Products. Defendants' conduct in certifying invalidity, unenforceability, and/or non-infringement with respect to the '355 Patent renders this case "exceptional" as that term is set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 285, and entitle Plaintiffs to recovery of their attorneys' fees and such other relief as this Court deems proper.

66. Plaintiffs will be irreparably harmed if Defendants are not enjoined from infringing, and from actively inducing or contributing to the infringement of the '355 Patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law, and considering the balance of hardships between Plaintiffs and Defendants, a remedy in equity is warranted. Further, the public interest would not be disserved by the entry of a permanent injunction.

COUNT III
INFRINGEMENT OF THE '946 PATENT

67. Plaintiffs restate, reallege, and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1–66 as if fully set forth herein.

68. On information and belief, Defendants have submitted or caused the submission of ANDA No. 210993 to FDA, and thereby seek FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA.

69. Plaintiffs own all rights, title, and interest in and to the '946 Patent.

70. The ANDA Products fall within one or more claims of the '946 Patent.

71. On information and belief, the ANDA Products will be indicated for the treatment of major depressive disorder.

72. Defendants have infringed at least one claim of the '946 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A) by submitting Defendants' ANDA with a Paragraph IV certification and thereby seeking FDA approval of generic versions of TRINTELLIX[®] prior to the expiration of the '946 Patent.

73. If approved, use of the ANDA Products in accordance with the proposed labeling will directly infringe one or more claims of the '946 Patent.

74. Unless enjoined by this Court, upon FDA approval, Defendants will actively induce infringement of the '946 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). On information and belief, upon FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA, Defendants will make, use, offer to sell, or sell the

ANDA Products within the United States, or will import the ANDA Products into the United States, and will thereby induce infringement of one or more claims of the '946 Patent. On information and belief, upon FDA approval, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement with knowledge of the '946 Patent and knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

75. Unless enjoined by this Court, upon FDA approval, Defendants will contributorily infringe the '946 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). On information and belief, upon FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA, Defendants will offer to sell or sell the ANDA Products within the United States, or will import the ANDA Products into the United States, and will thereby contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '946 Patent. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge of the '946 Patent and knowledge that their acts will lead to infringement of the patent. Upon information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that the ANDA Products are especially made or especially adapted for a use that infringes the '946 Patent and that there are no substantial non-infringing uses for the ANDA Products.

76. Defendants had actual and constructive notice of the '946 Patent prior to filing Defendants' ANDA, and were aware that the filing of Defendants' ANDA with the request for FDA approval prior to the expiration of the '946 Patent would constitute an act of infringement of the '946 Patent. Defendants have no reasonable basis for asserting that the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale of the ANDA Products will not infringe, contribute to the infringement of, and/or induce the infringement of the '946 Patent.

77. In addition, Defendants filed Defendants' ANDA without adequate justification for asserting the '946 Patent to be invalid, unenforceable, and/or not infringed by the commercial

manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale of the ANDA Products. Defendants' conduct in certifying invalidity, unenforceability, and/or non-infringement with respect to the '946 Patent renders this case "exceptional" as that term is set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 285, and entitle Plaintiffs to recovery of their attorneys' fees and such other relief as this Court deems proper.

78. Plaintiffs will be irreparably harmed if Defendants are not enjoined from infringing, and from actively inducing or contributing to the infringement of the '946 Patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law, and considering the balance of hardships between Plaintiffs and Defendants, a remedy in equity is warranted. Further, the public interest would not be disserved by the entry of a permanent injunction.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

(A) A judgment that Defendants have infringed the '684, '355, and '946 Patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A);

(B) An order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A), that the effective date of any FDA approval of Defendants' ANDA shall be no earlier than the last expiration date of any of the '684, '355, or '946 Patents, or any later expiration of exclusivity for any of the '684, '355, or '946 Patents, including any extensions or regulatory exclusivities;

(C) Entry of a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, parents, affiliates, and subsidiaries, and all persons and entities acting in concert with Defendants or on their behalf from commercially manufacturing, using, offering for sale, or selling the ANDA Products within the United States, or importing the ANDA Products into the United States, until the expiration of the '684, '355, and '946 Patents;

(D) A judgment declaring that making, using, selling, offering to sell, or importing the ANDA Products, or inducing or contributing to such conduct, would constitute infringement of the '684, '355, and '946 Patents pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a), (b), and/or (c);

(E) A declaration under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 that if Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, parents, affiliates, and subsidiaries, and all persons and entities acting in concert with Defendants or on their behalf, engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale or importation of the ANDA Products, it will constitute an act of infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a), (b), and/or (c);

(F) An award of damages or other relief, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(C), if Defendants engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of the ANDA Products, or any product that infringes the '684, '355, or '946 Patents, or induce or contribute to such conduct, prior to the expiration of the patents;

(G) A finding that this is an exceptional case, and an award of attorneys' fees in this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;

(H) Costs and expenses in this action; and

(I) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Maryellen Noreika

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)

Maryellen Noreika (#3208)

Megan Dellinger (#5739)

1201 North Market Street

P.O. Box 1347

Wilmington, DE 19899

(302) 658-9200

jblumenfeld@mnat.com

mnoreika@mnat.com

mdellinger@mnat.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

OF COUNSEL:

George F. Pappas
Einar Stole
Christopher N. Sipes
Brianna Bharkhda
Priscilla G. Dodson
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter
850 Tenth Street NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
(202) 662-6000

Yiye Fu
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700
Redwood Shores, CA 94065-1418
(650) 632-4700

January 30, 2018