

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION**

**ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,
ELI LILLY EXPORT S.A. AND
ACRUX DDS PTY LTD.,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. AND
PAR PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES, INC.**

Defendants.

C.A. No. 1:17-cv-1219

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Eli Lilly and Company, Eli Lilly Export S.A., and Acrux DDS Pty Ltd. (“Acrux”) (collectively “Plaintiffs”) file this Complaint for patent infringement against Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. and Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc. (collectively “Defendants”) under 35 U.S.C. § 271. This patent action concerns the pharmaceutical drug product Axiron®.

THE PARTIES

1. Eli Lilly and Company is an Indiana corporation that has its corporate offices and principal place of business at Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, Indiana 46285. Eli Lilly and Company (hereinafter “Lilly”) is engaged in the business of research, development, manufacture, and sale of pharmaceutical products throughout the world.

2. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is a Swiss corporation that has its corporate office at 16 Chemin des Coquelicots, The Air Centre, 1214 Vernier/Geneva, Switzerland. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Lilly.

3. Acrux is an Australian corporation that has its corporate offices and principal place of business at 103-113 Stanley Street, West Melbourne VIC 3003, Australia. Acrux is

engaged in the development and commercialization of pharmaceutical products for sale throughout the world.

4. On information and belief, Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. (“Par Pharma”) is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with places of business at One Ram Ridge Road, Spring Valley, New York 10977 and at 300 Tice Boulevard, Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey 07677.

5. On information and belief, Par Pharma is a generic pharmaceutical company that develops, manufactures, markets, and distributes generic pharmaceutical products for sale in the State of Indiana and throughout the United States, alone and/or through its wholly-owned subsidiaries and agents.

6. On information and belief, Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc. (“Par Co.”) is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 300 Tice Boulevard, Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey 07677.

7. On information and belief, Par Co. is a generic pharmaceutical company that develops, manufactures, markets, and distributes generic pharmaceutical products for sale in the State of Indiana and throughout the United States, alone and/or through its wholly-owned subsidiaries and agents. On information and belief, Par Pharma is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Par Co., is in concert and controlled by Par Co., and is an agent or affiliate of Par Co.

8. On information and belief, the acts of Par Pharma complained of herein were done at the direction of, with the authorization of, and/or with the cooperation, participation, and assistance of Par Co. On information and belief, the acts of Par Pharma complained of herein were done at least in part for the benefit of Par Co.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

9. This is an action for infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,435,944 (“the ’944 patent”), 8,993,520 (“the ’520 patent”), 9,180,194 (“the ’194 patent”), 8,419,307 (“the ’307 patent”), 8,177,449 (“the ’449 patent”), 8,807,861 (“the ’861 patent”), and 9,289,586 (“the ’586 patent”). This action relates to Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”) No. 209248 (“Par’s ANDA”) submitted in the name of Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) for approval to market a generic version of Lilly’s Axiron[®] (testosterone) product, which constitutes an act of infringement under the United States Patent Laws, Title 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2).

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

12. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

PERSONAL JURISDICTION

13. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they regularly and continuously transact business within the State of Indiana. On information and belief, Defendants develop, manufacture, market, and sell pharmaceutical products throughout the United States, including the State of Indiana. On information and belief, Defendants maintain a broad distributorship network within Indiana.

14. On information and belief, Defendants derive substantial revenue from Indiana drug sales and have availed themselves of the privilege of conducting business within the State of Indiana.

15. Defendants' website states that "Par Pharmaceutical . . . develops, manufactures and markets safe, innovative and cost-effective generic pharmaceutical products that help improve patient quality of life." www.parpharm.com/about/mission.php.

16. Defendants' website further states that "Par Pharmaceutical develops, manufacturers [sic] and distributes quality generics pharmaceuticals. Our extensive range of prescription and over-the-counter products is comprised of tablets, capsules, liquids, suspensions, creams, and ointments. We offer products in a wide variety of therapeutic categories, including antihypertensives, analgesics, antibiotics, cough/cold, antidepressants, antipsychotics, as well as others. Consumers can find our products at major retailers, as well as locally owned and operated pharmacies." www.parpharm.com/products/product-catalog.php. On information and belief, these "major retailers, as well as locally owned and operated pharmacies" (*id.*), include entities that sell pharmaceuticals in the State of Indiana.

17. On information and belief, Par Pharma and Par Co., either directly or through distributors, currently sell significant quantities of generic drug products in the State of Indiana. Among the dozens of products available, for example, are Par Pharma's generic versions of AMBIEN[®], ARICEPT[®], CRESTOR[®], RISPERDAL[®], PROZAC[®], XANAX[®] and ZYPREXA[®]. A list of the dozens of generic products sold by Par Pharma in the United States can be found at www.parpharm.com/products/product-catalog.php.

18. Par Pharma and Par Co., through their website, solicit customers and potential customers from across the U.S., including in the Southern District of Indiana, who can search and access prescribing information for Defendants' full product line. *Id.*

19. Par Pharma and Par Co. develop and manufacture pharmaceutical products for the United States market, including the State of Indiana. Par Pharma and Par Co., either directly or

through distributors, sell products to national and regional retail drug, supermarket, and mass merchandise chains in the State of Indiana, and derive substantial revenue from these sales.

20. On information and belief, Par Pharma and Par Co. in concert participated in the preparation and submission of ANDA No. 209248 and will both benefit directly and indirectly from the approval of ANDA No. 209248. On information and belief, Par Co. actively and knowingly provided Par with material information and support in preparing and submitting ANDA No. 209248 and has therefore aided and/or abetted in the filing of the Par ANDA.

21. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants by virtue of their course of conduct that is designed to cause the performance of tortious acts that will result in foreseeable harm in the State of Indiana. Defendants “ha[ve] taken the costly, significant step of applying to the FDA for approval to engage in future activities—including the marketing of its generic drugs—that will be purposefully directed at,” on information and belief, the Southern District of Indiana and elsewhere. *See Acorda Therapeutics Inc. v. Mylan Pharm. Inc.*, 817 F.3d 755, 759 (Fed. Cir. 2016). Defendants’ “ANDA filings constitute formal acts that reliably indicate plans to engage in marketing of the proposed generic drugs.” *Id.* at 760. On information and belief, Defendants “intend[] to direct sales of [their] drugs into [Indiana], among other places, once it has the requested FDA approval to market them.” *Id.* at 758. On information and belief, Par Pharma and Par Co. will engage in marketing of the proposed Par ANDA product in the State of Indiana upon approval of the Par ANDA.

22. Par Pharma’s ANDA filing, including its Paragraph IV certifications regarding the ’944, ’520, ’194, ’307, ’861, and ’586 patents, is suit-related and has a substantial connection with this District because it reliably, non-speculatively predicts activities by Defendants in this District. “[T]he minimum-contacts standard is satisfied by the particular actions [Defendants]

ha[ve] already taken—its ANDA filing[]—for the purpose of engaging in that injury-causing and allegedly wrongful marketing conduct in” this District. *Id.* at 760. Exercising personal jurisdiction over Defendants in this District would not be unreasonable given their contacts in this District, and the interest in this District of resolving disputes related to products to be sold herein.

23. As further evidence of personal jurisdiction, Par Pharma and Par Co. have availed themselves of this forum previously for the purpose of litigating a patent dispute, including asserting counterclaims against Lilly in this Judicial District in *Eli Lilly and Company et al. v. Accord Healthcare Inc., USA et al.*, No. 1:14-cv-00389, D.I. 69 at 54-61 (S.D. Ind. April 7, 2014). As part of their purposeful availment of this forum previously for the purpose of litigating patent dispute, Par Pharma and Par Co. did not contest that personal jurisdiction and venue were proper under the complaint filed in the Southern District of Indiana. *Id.* at 10, 12.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Axiron[®]

24. Lilly is the holder of approved New Drug Application (“NDA”) No. 022504 for the manufacture and sale of testosterone metered transdermal solution, 30mg/1.5mL used to treat males for conditions associated with a deficiency or absence of endogenous testosterone. Lilly markets and sells testosterone metered transdermal solution, 30mg/1.5mL under the trade name Axiron[®]. Axiron[®] was approved by the FDA on November 23, 2010.

B. The '944 Patent

25. United States Patent No. 8,435,944 (“the '944 patent”), titled “Method and Composition for Transdermal Drug Delivery,” was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”) on May 7, 2013. The '944 patent claims, *inter alia*, methods of increasing the testosterone blood level of an adult male comprising applying a

transdermal drug delivery composition that contains testosterone. The '944 patent is listed in the FDA publication titled *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* (commonly known as the "Orange Book") in connection with Axiron[®]. A true and correct copy of the '944 patent is attached as Exhibit A. Since its date of issue, Acrux has been, and continues to be, the owner of the '944 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is the exclusive licensee worldwide for all uses of Axiron[®] under the '944 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. has licensed its rights in the '944 patent to Lilly.

C. The '520 Patent

26. United States Patent No. 8,993,520 ("the '520 patent"), titled "Method and Composition for Transdermal Drug Delivery," was duly and legally issued by the PTO on March 31, 2015. The '520 patent claims, *inter alia*, methods of increasing the testosterone blood level of an adult male subject comprising applying a transdermal drug delivery composition. The '520 patent is listed in the Orange Book in connection with Axiron[®]. A true and correct copy of the '520 patent is attached as Exhibit B. Since its date of issue, Acrux has been, and continues to be, the owner of the '520 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is the exclusive licensee worldwide for all uses of Axiron[®] under the '520 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. has licensed its rights in the '520 patent to Lilly.

D. The '194 Patent

27. United States Patent No. 9,180,194 ("the '194 patent"), titled "Method and Composition for Transdermal Drug Delivery," was duly and legally issued by the PTO on November 10, 2015. The '194 patent claims, *inter alia*, methods of increasing the testosterone blood level of an adult male subject comprising applying a transdermal drug delivery composition. The '194 patent is listed in the Orange Book in connection with Axiron[®]. A true and correct copy of the '194 patent is attached as Exhibit C. Since its date of issue, Acrux has

been, and continues to be, the owner of the '194 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is the exclusive licensee worldwide for all uses of Axiron[®] under the '194 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. has licensed its rights in the '194 patent to Lilly.

E. The '307 Patent

28. United States Patent No. 8,419,307 (“the '307 patent”), titled “Spreading Implement,” was duly and legally issued by the Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”) on April 16, 2013. The '307 patent claims, *inter alia*, methods of increasing the testosterone blood level of a person in need thereof comprising applying a liquid pharmaceutical composition that contains testosterone. The '307 patent is listed in the Orange Book in connection with Axiron[®]. A true and correct copy of the '307 patent is attached as Exhibit D. Since its date of issue, Acrux has been, and continues to be, the owner of the '307 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is the exclusive licensee worldwide for all uses of Axiron[®] under the '307 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. has licensed its rights in the '307 patent to Lilly.

F. The '449 Patent

29. United States Patent No. 8,177,449 (“the '449 patent”), titled “Spreading Implement,” was duly and legally issued by the PTO on May 15, 2012. The '449 patent claims, *inter alia*, a method of transdermal administration of a physiologically active agent. A true and correct copy of the '449 patent is attached as Exhibit E. Since its date of issue, Acrux has been, and continues to be, the owner of the '449 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is the exclusive licensee worldwide for all uses of Axiron[®] under the '449 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. has licensed its rights in the '449 patent to Lilly.

G. The '861 Patent

30. United States Patent No. 8,807,861 (“the '861 patent”), titled “Spreading Implement,” was duly and legally issued by the PTO on August 19, 2014. The '861 patent

claims, *inter alia*, methods of transdermal administration of a physiologically active agent. The '861 patent is listed in the Orange Book in connection with Axiron[®]. A true and correct copy of the '861 patent is attached as Exhibit F. Since its date of issue, Acrux has been, and continues to be, the owner of the '861 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is the exclusive licensee worldwide for all uses of Axiron[®] under the '861 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. has licensed its rights in the '861 patent to Lilly.

H. The '586 Patent

31. United States Patent No. 9,289,586 (“the '586 patent”), titled “Spreading Implement,” was duly and legally issued by the PTO on March 22, 2016. The '586 patent claims, *inter alia*, methods of transdermal administration of a physiologically active agent. The '586 patent is listed in the Orange Book in connection with Axiron[®]. A true and correct copy of the '586 patent is attached as Exhibit G. Since its date of issue, Acrux has been, and continues to be, the owner of the '586 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. is the exclusive licensee worldwide for all uses of Axiron[®] under the '586 patent. Eli Lilly Export S.A. has licensed its rights in the '586 patent to Lilly.

I. Infringement by Par Pharma and Par Co.

32. Par Pharma and/or Par Co. filed or caused to be filed with the FDA ANDA No. 209248 under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) to obtain approval for the commercial manufacture, use, and sale of a transdermal “Testosterone Topical Solution, 30 mg/1.5mL” (“Par’s Generic Product”) in the United States before the expiration of the '944, '520, '194, '307, '861, and '586 patents.

33. Defendants’ ANDA No. 209248 contains certifications pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) (“paragraph IV certifications”), alleging that the claims of the '944, '520, '194, '307, '861, and '586 patents are invalid, unenforceable, and/or would not be infringed by Par’s Generic Product.

34. Par Pharma and/or Par Co. sent or caused to be sent to Plaintiffs a letter dated March 7, 2017 (“Notice Letter”), notifying Plaintiffs that Par Pharma’s ANDA No. 209248 includes a paragraph IV certification to obtain approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, or sale of Par’s Generic Product before the expiration of the ’944, ’520, ’194, ’307, ’861, and ’586 patents, and providing information pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(B).

35. Par Pharma’s Notice Letter states that: “An Abbreviated New Drug Application (‘ANDA’) containing any required bioequivalence data or information has been submitted under § 505(j) of the Act for the drug with respect to which certification is made to obtain approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, or sale of the drug before the expiration date of United States Patent Nos. 8,419,307; 8,435,944; 8,784,878; 8,807,861; 8,993,520; 9,180,194; and 9,289,586, listed in the *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* (the ‘Orange Book’).”

36. Par Pharma’s Notice Letter also states: “The [Par] ANDA indicates that Par intends to market the product before expiration of the ’307, ’944, ’878, ’861, ’520, ’194, and ’586 patents, and contains certifications pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV), that in Par’s opinion, the patents are invalid, unenforceable and/or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, or sale of the product for which the application is submitted.”

37. The submission of Par’s ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA constitutes infringement by Defendants of the ’944 patent, the ’520 patent, the ’194 patent, the ’307 patent, the ’449 patent, the ’861 patent, and the ’586 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2). Moreover, any commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Par’s Generic Product would infringe the ’944 patent, the ’520 patent, the ’194 patent, the ’307 patent, the ’449 patent, the ’861 patent, and the ’586 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

38. Defendants know and intend that physicians will prescribe and patients will take Par's Generic Product for which approval is sought in ANDA No. 209248 and, therefore, will infringe at least one claim of the patents-in-suit.

39. Defendants had knowledge of the patents-in-suit, and by their promotional activities and proposed commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Par's Generic Product, knew or should know that they will aid and abet another's direct infringement of at least one of the claims of the patents-in-suit either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

40. Defendants plan to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or import Par's Generic Product for uses that will infringe the patents-in-suit. Par's Generic Product is a material part of these infringing uses and has no substantial non-infringing uses.

41. Plaintiffs commenced this action within 45 days of receiving Par Pharma's March 7, 2017, Notice Letter.

COUNT I FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,435,944)

42. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-41 above as though fully restated herein.

43. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2), Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA seeking approval of Par's Generic Product before expiration of the '944 patent was an act of infringement of the '944 patent by Defendants.

44. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved by the FDA, Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale in the United States, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '944 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

45. Unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '944 patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT II FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Inducement To Infringe U.S. Patent No. 8,435,944)

46. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-45 above as though fully restated herein.

47. Defendants have knowledge of the '944 patent.

48. Upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement of the '944 patent by others, with knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

COUNT III FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Contributory Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,435,944)

49. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-48 above as though fully restated herein.

50. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved, Defendants intend to and will offer to sell, sell, or import into the United States Par's Generic Product.

51. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '944 patent.

52. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

COUNT IV FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,993,520)

53. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-52 above as though fully restated herein.

54. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2), Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA seeking approval of Par's Generic Product before expiration of the '520 patent was an act of infringement of the '520 patent by Defendants.

55. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved by the FDA, Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale in the United States, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '520 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

56. Unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '520 patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT V FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Inducement To Infringe U.S. Patent No. 8,993,520)

57. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-56 above as though fully restated herein.

58. Defendants have knowledge of the '520 patent.

59. Upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement of the '520 patent by others, with knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

COUNT VI FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Contributory Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,993,520)

60. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-59 above as though fully restated herein.

61. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved, Defendants intend to and will offer to sell, sell, or import into the United States Par's Generic Product.

62. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '520 patent.

63. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

COUNT VII FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,180,194)

64. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-63 above as though fully restated herein.

65. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2), Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA seeking approval of Par's Generic Product before expiration of the '194 patent was an act of infringement of the '194 patent by Defendants.

66. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved by the FDA, Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale in the United States, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '194 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

67. Unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '194 patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT VIII FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Inducement To Infringe U.S. Patent No. 9,180,194)

68. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-67 above as though fully restated herein.

69. Defendants have knowledge of the '194 patent.

70. Upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement of the '194 patent by others, with knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

COUNT IX FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Contributory Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,180,194)

71. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-70 above as though fully restated herein.

72. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved, Defendants intend to and will offer to sell, sell, or import into the United States Par's Generic Product.

73. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '194 patent.

74. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

COUNT X FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,419,307)

75. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-74 above as though fully restated herein.

76. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2), Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA seeking approval of Par's Generic Product before expiration of the '307 patent was an act of infringement of the '307 patent by Defendants.

77. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved by the FDA, Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale in the United States, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '307 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

78. Unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '307 patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT XI FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Inducement To Infringe U.S. Patent No. 8,419,307)

79. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-78 above as though fully restated herein.

80. Defendants have knowledge of the '307 patent.

81. Upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement of the '307 patent by others, with knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

COUNT XII FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Contributory Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,419,307)

82. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-81 above as though fully restated herein.

83. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved, Defendants intend to and will offer to sell, sell, or import into the United States Par's Generic Product.

84. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '307 patent.

85. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

COUNT XIII FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,177,449)

86. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-85 above as though fully restated herein.

87. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2), Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA seeking approval of Par's Generic Product before expiration of the '449 patent was an act of infringement of the '449 patent by Defendants.

88. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved by the FDA, Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale in the United States, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '449 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

89. Unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '449 patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT XIV FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Inducement To Infringe U.S. Patent No. 8,177,449)

90. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-89 above as though fully restated herein.

91. Defendants have knowledge of the '449 patent.

92. Upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement of the '449 patent by others, with knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

COUNT XV FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Contributory Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,177,449)

93. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-92 above as though fully restated herein.

94. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved, Defendants intend to and will offer to sell, sell, or import into the United States Par's Generic Product.

95. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '449 patent.

96. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

COUNT XVI FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,807,861)

97. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-96 above as though fully restated herein.

98. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2), Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA seeking approval of Par's Generic Product before expiration of the '861 patent was an act of infringement of the '861 patent by Defendants.

99. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved by the FDA, Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale in the United States, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '861 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

100. Unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '861 patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT XVII FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Inducement To Infringe U.S. Patent No. 8,807,861)

101. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-100 above as though fully restated herein.

102. Defendants have knowledge of the '861 patent.

103. Upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement of the '861 patent by others, with knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

COUNT XVIII FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Contributory Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,807,861)

104. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-103 above as though fully restated herein.

105. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved, Defendants intend to and will offer to sell, sell, or import into the United States Par's Generic Product.

106. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '861 patent.

107. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

COUNT XIX FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,289,586)

108. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-107 above as though fully restated herein.

109. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2), Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA seeking approval of Par's Generic Product before expiration of the '586 patent was an act of infringement of the '586 patent by Defendants.

110. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved by the FDA, Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale in the United States, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '586 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

111. Unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '586 patent. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT XX FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Inducement To Infringe U.S. Patent No. 9,289,586)

112. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-111 above as though fully restated herein.

113. Defendants have knowledge of the '586 patent.

114. Upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, Defendants will intentionally encourage acts of direct infringement of the '586 patent by others, with knowledge that their acts are encouraging infringement.

COUNT XXI FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(Contributory Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,289,586)

115. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-114 above as though fully restated herein.

116. If ANDA No. 209248 is approved, Defendants intend to and will offer to sell, sell, or import into the United States Par's Generic Product.

117. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '586 patent.

118. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

COUNT XXII FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,435,944)

119. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-118 above as though fully restated herein.

120. This declaratory judgment claim arises under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

121. Defendants submitted ANDA No. 209248, seeking authorization to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, and sell Par's Generic Product in the United States. Par's Generic Product has no substantial non-infringing uses.

122. Defendants have made, and will continue to make, substantial preparation in the United States to manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, or import Par's Generic Product prior to expiration of the '944 patent.

123. Defendants intend to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within the United States or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product upon receipt of final FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, unless enjoined by the Court.

124. Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would infringe one or more claims of the '944 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

125. Defendants' threatened actions in actively aiding, abetting, encouraging, and inducing sales of Par's Generic Product would infringe and contribute to or induce direct infringement of one or more claims of the '944 patent.

126. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '944 patent.

127. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

128. There is a justiciable case or controversy between Plaintiffs and Defendants regarding whether Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product according to ANDA No. 209248 would infringe one or more claims of the '944 patent.

129. If Defendants' infringement of the '944 patent is not enjoined, Plaintiffs will suffer substantial and irreparable harm from which there is no remedy at law.

COUNT XXIII FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,993,520)

130. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-129 above as though fully restated herein.

131. This declaratory judgment claim arises under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

132. Defendants submitted ANDA No. 209248, seeking authorization to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, and sell Par's Generic Product in the United States. Par's Generic Product has no substantial non-infringing uses.

133. Defendants have made, and will continue to make, substantial preparation in the United States to manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, or import Par's Generic Product prior to expiration of the '520 patent.

134. Defendants intend to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within the United States or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product upon receipt of final FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, unless enjoined by the Court.

135. Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would infringe one or more claims of the '520 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

136. Defendants' threatened actions in actively aiding, abetting, encouraging, and inducing sales of Par's Generic Product would infringe and contribute to or induce direct infringement of one or more claims of the '520 patent.

137. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '520 patent.

138. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

139. There is a justiciable case or controversy between Plaintiffs and Defendants regarding whether Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product according to ANDA No. 209248 would infringe one or more claims of the '520 patent.

140. If Defendants' infringement of the '520 patent is not enjoined, Plaintiffs will suffer substantial and irreparable harm from which there is no remedy at law.

COUNT XXIV FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,180,194)

141. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-140 above as though fully restated herein.

142. This declaratory judgment claim arises under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

143. Defendants submitted ANDA No. 209248, seeking authorization to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, and sell Par's Generic Product in the United States. Par's Generic Product has no substantial non-infringing uses.

144. Defendants have made, and will continue to make, substantial preparation in the United States to manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, or import Par's Generic Product prior to expiration of the '194 patent.

145. Defendants intend to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within the United States or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product upon receipt of final FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, unless enjoined by the Court.

146. Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would infringe one or more claims of the '194 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

147. Defendants' threatened actions in actively aiding, abetting, encouraging, and inducing sales of Par's Generic Product would infringe and contribute to or induce direct infringement of one or more claims of the '194 patent.

148. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '194 patent.

149. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

150. There is a justiciable case or controversy between Plaintiffs and Defendants regarding whether Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product according to ANDA No. 209248 would infringe one or more claims of the '194 patent.

151. If Defendants' infringement of the '194 patent is not enjoined, Plaintiffs will suffer substantial and irreparable harm from which there is no remedy at law.

COUNT XXV FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,419,307)

152. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-151 above as though fully restated herein.

153. This declaratory judgment claim arises under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

154. Defendants submitted ANDA No. 209248, seeking authorization to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, and sell Par's Generic Product in the United States. Par's Generic Product has no substantial non-infringing uses.

155. Defendants have made, and will continue to make, substantial preparation in the United States to manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, or import Par's Generic Product prior to expiration of the '307 patent.

156. Defendants intend to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within the United States or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product upon receipt of final FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, unless enjoined by the Court.

157. Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would infringe one or more claims of the '307 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

158. Defendants' threatened actions in actively aiding, abetting, encouraging, and inducing sales of Par's Generic Product would infringe and contribute to or induce direct infringement of one or more claims of the '307 patent.

159. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '307 patent.

160. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

161. There is a justiciable case or controversy between Plaintiffs and Defendants regarding whether Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product according to ANDA No. 209248 would infringe one or more claims of the '307 patent.

162. If Defendants' infringement of the '307 patent is not enjoined, Plaintiffs will suffer substantial and irreparable harm from which there is no remedy at law.

COUNT XXVI FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,177,449)

163. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-162 above as though fully restated herein.

164. This declaratory judgment claim arises under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

165. Defendants submitted ANDA No. 209248, seeking authorization to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, and sell Par's Generic Product in the United States. Par's Generic Product has no substantial non-infringing uses.

166. Defendants have made, and will continue to make, substantial preparation in the United States to manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, or import Par's Generic Product prior to expiration of the '449 patent.

167. Defendants intend to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within the United States or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product upon receipt of final FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, unless enjoined by the Court.

168. Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would infringe one or more claims of the '449 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

169. Defendants' threatened actions in actively aiding, abetting, encouraging, and inducing sales of Par's Generic Product would infringe and contribute to or induce direct infringement of one or more claims of the '449 patent.

170. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '449 patent.

171. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

172. There is a justiciable case or controversy between Plaintiffs and Defendants regarding whether Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product according to ANDA No. 209248 would infringe one or more claims of the '449 patent.

173. If Defendants' infringement of the '449 patent is not enjoined, Plaintiffs will suffer substantial and irreparable harm from which there is no remedy at law.

COUNT XXVII FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,807,861)

174. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-173 above as though fully restated herein.

175. This declaratory judgment claim arises under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

176. Defendants submitted ANDA No. 209248, seeking authorization to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, and sell Par's Generic Product in the United States. Par's Generic Product has no substantial non-infringing uses.

177. Defendants have made, and will continue to make, substantial preparation in the United States to manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, or import Par's Generic Product prior to expiration of the '861 patent.

178. Defendants intend to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within the United States or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product upon receipt of final FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, unless enjoined by the Court.

179. Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would infringe one or more claims of the '861 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

180. Defendants' threatened actions in actively aiding, abetting, encouraging, and inducing sales of Par's Generic Product would infringe and contribute to or induce direct infringement of one or more claims of the '861 patent.

181. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '861 patent.

182. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

183. There is a justiciable case or controversy between Plaintiffs and Defendants regarding whether Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product according to ANDA No. 209248 would infringe one or more claims of the '861 patent.

184. If Defendants' infringement of the '861 patent is not enjoined, Plaintiffs will suffer substantial and irreparable harm from which there is no remedy at law.

COUNT XXVIII FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,289,586)

185. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege Paragraphs 1-184 above as though fully restated herein.

186. This declaratory judgment claim arises under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

187. Defendants submitted ANDA No. 209248, seeking authorization to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, and sell Par's Generic Product in the United States. Par's Generic Product has no substantial non-infringing uses.

188. Defendants have made, and will continue to make, substantial preparation in the United States to manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, or import Par's Generic Product prior to expiration of the '586 patent.

189. Defendants intend to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within the United States or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product upon receipt of final FDA approval of ANDA No. 209248, unless enjoined by the Court.

190. Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, or offer for sale within or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product would infringe one or more claims of the '586 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

191. Defendants' threatened actions in actively aiding, abetting, encouraging, and inducing sales of Par's Generic Product would infringe and contribute to or induce direct infringement of one or more claims of the '586 patent.

192. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that Par's Generic Product is especially adapted for a use that infringes the '586 patent.

193. On information and belief, Defendants have had and continue to have knowledge that there is no substantial non-infringing use for Par's Generic Product.

194. There is a justiciable case or controversy between Plaintiffs and Defendants regarding whether Defendants' commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation into the United States of Par's Generic Product according to ANDA No. 209248 would infringe one or more claims of the '586 patent.

195. If Defendants' infringement of the '586 patent is not enjoined, Plaintiffs will suffer substantial and irreparable harm from which there is no remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in their favor as follows:

a) United States Patent Nos. 8,435,944, 8,993,520, 9,180,194, 8,419,307, 8,177,449, 8,807,861, and 9,289,586 are valid and enforceable;

b) Under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A), Defendants infringed United States Patent Nos. 8,435,944, 8,993,520, 9,180,194, 8,419,307, 8,177,449, 8,807,861, and 9,289,586 by submitting ANDA No. 209248 to the FDA to obtain approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, or import into the United States Par's Generic Product prior to expiration of said patents;

c) Defendants' threatened acts of commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale in, or importation into, the United States of Par's Generic Product prior to the expiration of United States Patent Nos. 8,435,944, 8,993,520, 9,180,194, 8,419,307, 8,177,449, 8,807,861, and 9,289,586 would constitute infringement of said patents;

d) The effective date of any FDA approval of Par's Generic Product shall be no earlier than the latest of the expiration date of United States Patent Nos. 8,435,944, 8,993,520, 9,180,194, 8,419,307, 8,177,449, 8,807,861, and 9,289,586 and any additional periods of exclusivity, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A);

e) Defendants, and all persons acting in concert with Defendants, shall be enjoined from commercially manufacturing, using, offering for sale, or selling Par's Generic Product within the United States, or importing Par's Generic Product into the United States, until the expiration of United States Patent Nos. 8,435,944, 8,993,520, 9,180,194, 8,419,307, 8,177,449, 8,807,861, and 9,289,586 in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(e)(4)(B) and 283;

f) This is an exceptional case and Plaintiffs should be awarded their costs, expenses, and disbursements in this action, including reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 285 and 271(e)(4);

g) Plaintiffs are entitled to any further appropriate relief under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4); and

h) Plaintiffs are entitled to any further and additional relief that this Court deems just and proper.

/s/ Anne N. DePrez

Anne N. DePrez, No. 4728-49
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
11 South Meridian Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-3535
Telephone: (317) 231-7264
Email: adepez@btlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Eli Lilly and Company, Eli Lilly Export S.A.,
and Acrux DDS Pty Ltd.

Of counsel:

L. Scott Burwell
J. Derek McCorquindale
Jessica L.A. Marks
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5675
Telephone: (571) 203-2700

Laura P. Masurovsky
Jennifer M. Vein
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
901 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4413
Telephone: (202) 408-4000

Alissa Lipton
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
Two Seaport Lane
Boston, MA 02210-2001
Telephone: (617) 646-1600